

REMARKS

Claims 1-13 are currently pending. Reconsideration of the grounds of rejection is respectfully requested in view of the amendments and remarks herein.

In response to the Examiner's objection to the Abstract, the Applicant has amended the Abstract so that it is between 50-150 words.

In response to the Examiner's objections to claims 8-12 for indefiniteness, the Applicant has amended the claims to address the informalities raised by the Examiner.

In response to the Examiner's obviousness rejections, Applicant respectfully submits that none of the references cited by the Examiner show or suggest the invention as now claimed. For example, claim 1 is directed to a method for delivering home repair information to a home owner over a global computer network, comprising the steps of: (A) presenting the home owner with a first Internet web page that contains a first list of home repair topics; (B) presenting the home owner, in response to selection by the home owner of a selected home repair topic from the first internet web page, with at least one second internet web page that contains a second list of home repair topics, wherein the second set of home repair topics correspond to specific home repair topics associated with the home repair topic selected from the first web page; and (C) downloading a video segment to a computer associated with the home owner in response to selection by the home owner of a home repair topic from the second internet web page, wherein the video segment includes step-by-step instructions for completing a home repair project associated with the home repair topic selected from the second internet web page. None of the references cited by the Examiner teach the downloading of such step-by-step instructions for completing the home repair project, in the context of the other limitations of amended claim 1. Similarly, none of the references cited by the Examiner teach the downloading of step-by-step

instructions for completing the an auto repair or cooking project, in the context of the other limitations of claims 7 and 13, respectively.

In view of the foregoing remarks, it is submitted that all pending independent claims are allowable over the cited references, and all dependent claims are allowable because all such claims depend from an allowable base claim. Accordingly, reconsideration and allowance of the claims is requested.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized by this paper to charge any fees due in connection with the filing of the response to Deposit Account No. **50-0310**.

Respectfully submitted,

January 24, 2005

By:



Daniel H. Golub
Registration No. 33,701
MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP
1701 Market Street
Philadelphia, PA 19103-2921
215.963.5055